

www.exeloncorp.com

Oyster Creek Generating Station Route 9 South PO Box 388 Forked River, NJ 08731 Nuclear

10 CFR 50.73

RA-11-006

February 21, 2011

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555 - 0001

Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-16

NRC Docket No. 50-219

Subject:

Licensee Event Report (LER) 2010-002-00, Automatic Reactor Scram

during Startup due to Low Condenser Vacuum

Enclosed is LER 2010-002-00, Automatic Reactor Scram during startup due to Low Condenser Vacuum. This event did not affect the health and safety of the public or plant personnel. This event did not result in a safety system functional failure. There are no regulatory commitments made in this LER submittal.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact Jeff Chrisley, Regulatory Assurance, at (609) 971-4469.

Respectfully,

Michael J. Massaro Vice President

Muhaif & Masiaco

Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Enclosure: NRC Form 366, LER 2010-002-00

cc: Administrator, NRC Region 1

NRC Senior Resident Inspector - Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station NRC Senior Project Manager - Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

IEAA

| NRC FO (10-2010) | | ICENSI (See re | EE EV | /ENT for requ | REPOR | RT (LER | | SION | Estimate requestion licens estimated for the control of the contro | nated est: sing pate miss ollect Regu et, V | d burde 80 hour process to the f sion, W ts.resour latory Al Washing does no | n per res rs. Repo and fed b FOIA/Priv ashingto rce@nrc.g ffairs, NE ton, DC t display ponsor, | ack to ind acy Section, DC 20 gov, and to DB-10202, 20503. If a | comply ons lea ustry. S on (T-5 0555-0 o the D- (3150-(a means | with this irned are if the common terms of the common terms of the common terms of the common terms of the control not require | manda ncorpo ents re . Nucl / inte Office e of M npose | atory gardinear R rnet e of Ir anage an in | ng burden egulatory e-mail to formation ement and formation NRC may |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 1. FACII | .ITY N | AME | · · · · · · · · | | | | | | 2. DC | СК | ET NU | MBER | | 3. P | AGE | | | |
| Оу | ster C | reek, Uni | it 1 | | | | | | | 05 | 000 | 21 | 9 | | 1 (| F | 2 | 2 |
| 4. TITLE | : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Au | tomat | ic Reacto | r Scran | n durin | g Startup | due to L | ow Mai | in Co | ndens | ser | Vacuu | ım | | | | | | |
| 5. E | VENT | DATE | 6. | LER NU | MBER | 7. F | REPORT | DATE | | | | | HER FA | CILITIE | SINVOL | | | |
| MONTH | DAY | YEAR | YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV NUMBER NO. | | | | MONTH DAY YEAR | | RN | FACILITY NAME N/A | | | | ** | | | DOCKET NUMBER 05000 | |
| 12 | 23 | 2010 | 2010 | - 02 | 2 - 00 | 02 | 21 | 201 | 4 | I/A | TY NAME | | | | | 050 | | MBER |
| 9. OPEF | RATING | MODE | 11 | . THIS R | EPORT IS | SUBMITTE | D PURS | UANT | тот | HE | REQUI | REMEN | TS OF 10 | CFR | §: (Check | | | ily) |
| N | | | 20. 20. 20. | .2201(b) .2201(d) .2203(a)(.2203(a)(| (2)(i) | 20. 20. 50. | 20.2203(a)(3)(i) 20.2203(a)(3)(ii) 20.2203(a)(4) 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) | | | [[[[| 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C) 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) | | | 50.73(a)(2)(vii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A) | | | | |
| 10. POWER LEVEL 000 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) | | | | | 50. 50. 50. | 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A) 50.36(c)(2) 50.46(a)(3)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D) | | | | ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(x) ☐ 73.71(a)(4) ☐ 73.71(a)(5) ☐ OTHER Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A | | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | 12. LICENS | SEE CON | TACT | FOR | THIS | S LER | | | | | | | |
| FACILITY Jeffrey | | slev. Sr. I | Regulate | orv Ass | urance S _l | pecialist | | | | | | • | TEL | EPHONE | NUMBER (60997 | | | Code) |
| 0011103 | | | | <u> </u> | ONE LINE | | 1 COMP | ONENT | FAIL | .UR | E DES | CRIBED | IN THIS | REPO | | | | |
| CAUSE | | SYSTEM | | COMPONENT MANU- FACTURES | | - REPO | REPORTABLE TO EPIX | | CAUSE | | SYSTEM COMPO | | СОМРО | NENT MANU- FACTURI | | | | |
| N/A | | N/A | 1 | N/A N/A | | 1 | N/A | | N/A | N/A | | N/A N/A | | A | N/A | | N/A | |
| 14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED ☐ YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) | | | | | | <u> </u> | | 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE | | | | _ | MONTH | DA | Y | YEAR | | |
| On De autom the low below The m psig by alarms The di in not includ contin | cember atically cond 600 ps ain copypass s and the rect can be rec | er 23, 201 y scramn enser vac ig during ndenser l function c rips are i ause of th ing that a incement with react | 0, with ned on I cum try startuy ow vaculuring reset price event all requiof oper or start | the readow mainip prior prior prior to estimate the control of the | ctor critical condense to estable adequate actor prostartup. Exceeding startup actor mined as were marocedures thutdown. | cal high in ser vacuum lishing th vacuum of tection sc A proced 500 psig of to be ina et prior to s to clearl | n the In Im. Th e requi can be o ram sig ure req ensures dequate o proce | terme e caus red va establi nal pi uiren that a e proce eding e key | se wancuun ished roces nent f a scra edur abov miles | s dum. 'in sed cor e cor e 5 ston | ue to e This b the m when confir signal omplia 00 psi nes req | exceeding ypass and con reactor ming a is not planted by greactor guiring | ng the 6 illows o denser. r pressi Il main present. the Un or press supervi | 00 psi perati ure wa conde it Rea sure. | ig bypassion at re as raised enser low ector Ope Correction | abov vac | et en d po ve th uum r (U | e 600 RO) s |
| Systen | | | porteu j | ruisuai | u. 10C | - I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | (** <i>)</i> (**) | усту | aut II | . ai | . autv | | viually) | u vi ti | ic Acacl | v. i i | ULEC | UVII |

Complete and the

NRC FORM 366A

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION **CONTINUATION SHEET**

| 1. FACILITY NAME | 2. DOCKET | | 6. LER NUMBER | 3. PAGE | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|------------|---|----|---|
| Oyster Creek, Unit 1 | 05000 219 | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NÜMBER | REV NO. | 2 | OF | 2 |
| | 05000 219 | 2010 - 02 - 00 | | | 2 | ~ | |

NARRATIVE

Plant Condition Prior to Event

Event Date:

December 23, 2010

Event Time: 1407 EST

Unit 1 Mode: Startup

Power Level: 0%

Description of Event

On December 23, 2010, a reactor startup was in progress in accordance with Procedure 201, Plant Startup. The reactor was critical with the mechanical vacuum pump operating to draw vacuum on the main condenser. The reactor had reached the point of adding heat and steam header warm-up was underway in accordance with Procedure 318, Main Steam System and Reheat System. "B" Condenser Vacuum had reached 19.6 inches mercury when the first set of steam jet air ejectors were placed in service. After the second and third set of air ejectors were placed in service, the mechanical vacuum pump was removed from service, and vacuum continued to improve to 23.9 inches mercury vacuum. Turbine Vacuum Trips were reset in accordance with the Procedure 315.1, Turbine Generator Startup.

Step 5.44.2 of Procedure 201 requires that RPS Low Vacuum Trips are clear prior to proceeding above 500 psig reactor pressure. The step states, "Confirm the following: All Main Condenser vacuum trips have cleared." The URO misinterpreted step 5.44.2 to be that the turbine trips were cleared. The URO then informed the Reactivity Senior Reactor Operator (SRO) that conditions were met to exceed 500 psig. At 14:07, reactor plant heat up brought reactor pressure in excess of the 600 psig low vacuum scram bypass reset prior to the RPS Condenser Vacuum Low/Turbine Trip set point being cleared, causing the reactor to automatically shutdown via scram.

Cause of Event

Inadequate procedure compliance by the URO initiated the event. The URO incorrectly validated the requirements to raise pressure above 500 psig and communicated this to the Reactivity SRO. Based on that information, the Reactivity SRO proceeded above the 500 psig milestone.

Analysis of Event

There were no plant or public health and safety consequences to this event. This report does not describe a safety system functional failure. The event is classified as a non-consequential event.

This event is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(iv)(A), which requires the reporting of any event or condition, which resulted in manual or automatic actuation of the RPS.

Corrective Actions

Plant startup and shutdown procedures will be revised to indicate significant steps (milestones) requiring Unit Supervisor concurrence to proceed.

Previous Occurrences

There have been no similar Licensee Event Reports submitted at Oyster Creek in the last three years.